

Osmos Winter 2015



Issue 7, Winter 2015

"A TRACE OR THE PRESENCE OF THE THING, BUT NOT THE THING ITSELF"

ERIN SHIRREFF'S PHOTOGRAMS

BY TOM MCDONOUGH

2021 S WABASH AVE CHICAGO IL 60616 +1 (312) 226 2223

SHANE CAMPBELL GALLERY



2021 S WABASH AVE CHICAGO IL 60616 +1 (312) 226 2223

SHANE CAMPBELL GALLERY



2021 S WABASH AVE CHICAGO IL 60616 +1 (312) 226 2223

SHANE CAMPBELL GALLERY

at is the weight of a shadow? That's the koan-like question and myself asking in front of Erin Shirreff's recent series yanotypes, four large, five x five or eight x eight foot tograms, each unique and all dating from early 2015. reff trained as a sculptor but has become best known the last five years or so for her explorations between liums-more particularly, the effects of photographic oduction on our perception of three-dimensional, sculp-I form. In 2010 Fionn Meade had already cast her as a igator of "the middle condition," a phrase coined by iela Lee to describe a contemporary sense of artistic protion as between-ness and conditionality rather than Modst specificity and truth to materials. We intuitively sense it this entails in Shirreff's photographs of sculptural lels assembled from cardboard or clay and meant only xist as images, or in her videos, in which she animates oductions of artworks—a Medardo Rosso bust, a James tell earthwork—using simple lighting effects. All of those ks made use of a certain degree of trumpery, a momentary ertainty on our part about the status or authenticity of t we might be seeing, but Shirreff's cyanotypes, in the transparency of their process, are even more effective in founding ontological distinctions between mediums. lyanotype is, in essence, a process for making pictures out camera or film. It was developed early on in phoaphy's history, having been introduced in the 1840s by lish scientist Sir John Herschel, but only entered genuse some forty years later, after 1880. You coat an abent material—paper, say, or linen in Shirreff's case—with emical solution of light-sensitive iron salts, which is dried ne dark. Objects are then placed on the material and a t made by exposing it to light; after exposure you process material by simply rinsing it in water. What emerges is int in which the objects will appear as white silhouettes nst a background of cyan, the rich, intense blue-green gives the process its name. Its appeal to Shirreff is obvinot only for its simplicity and for the significant element nance involved—results of the exposure remain unknown I the final rinse ensures the oxidation of the iron saltsalso for the way the photogram, as a contact print, deis on that most sculptural property, weight. Whether the ct is as insubstantial as a botanical specimen or as hefty piece of steel is irrelevant, all cyanotypes require that it on the surface of the sensitized material, occluding it its bulk. The process does not capture, as we expect in tography, the immaterial sense of vision, bringing near t is far away, but rather our haptic ability to feel mass. culpture casts shadows; photography fixes them. So we been taught. Shirreff shuffles those stable qualities unne distinct categories seem to be less static points than nents amidst a circulatory exchange. This is an outcome er fluid studio process, and her cyanotypes retain the feel orkroom experiments, albeit highly successful ones. The es that form the bases of each work—primarily arches, s, and semicircles hand-cut from paper—are the same as those rendered in steel in the contemporaneous *Drop* sculptures, where they hang in layered arrangements on steel rods. Paper can become shadow form on linen or it can become material form in space. The template can be translated into many different formats. And other objects might find a place on the photogram's surface, too; it is receptive to all sorts of studio paraphernalia: a ruler, some strings, even a ladder.

Their presence in the cyanotypes warrants consideration. I think they function initially as crucial reminders to the spectator that, unlike other forms of photography, the photogram reproduces objects at a 1:1 scale. This is most obvious in Ruler and hole, 2015 where the yardstick at left provides a reference to scale that is almost comical in its literalness. But they also suggest something of the lineage of these works, and perhaps of Shirreff's oeuvre more generally. We tend, thanks to her frequent references back to Modernist sculpture and especially to American Minimalism of the 1960s, to see her as reworking its premises in a postmodern moment of ubiquitous mediation via the image. The presence of the ruler or of strings dropped onto the linen reminds me, however, of nothing so much as the studio processes of Jasper Johns and, beyond him, of Marcel Duchamp—their embrace of chance, of course, but also their complex play with perception, time, and recollection.

The results, then, belie the simplicity of her process. Each cyanotype presents us with diaphanous layers of irregular geometric form in which figure-ground relations become indeterminate—the whitest "blanks" registering shapes laid on the treated linen surface, the darkest blue contours indicating areas left uncovered. Between those two states lay many shades of cyan, so that we come to understand these works not as representations of three-dimensional depth, but as indexes of time, of how long an object shadowed the solution-infused fabric. The longer a cutout form rested there, the whiter the ensuing shape. The flat surface of the photogram becomes the site of a prolonged duration, one more means in her ongoing concern with stretching time. Already in her film Sculpture Park (Tony Smith) (2006/2013), in which we see small models based on Smith's minimalist sculptures seemingly caught in a snowstorm, Shirreff announced her desire to slow down our process of perception, to acknowledge our experience of sculpture as a necessarily time-bound one. But hers is not merely the phenomenological durée professed by the makers of specific objects during the 1960s—the time taken by the mind to process the geometric form's gestalt—but also the psychological time of memory. Curator Jeffrey Weiss once aptly described one of her videos as encoding an experience of "distance, a metonymy of loss." Shirreff herself has recently said that in her work, shadow functions as "a trace or the presence of the thing, but not the thing itself." We might posit that a shadow's weight should be measured not simply by the mass of the object that casts it, but also by the extent of its indemnification in memory, by the time it sediments within its shade.